

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY Western Area

Date: MAR 0 3 2000

Employer Identification Number:

DLN:

Person To Contact:

Telephone Number:

Refer Reply To:

CERTIFIED MAIL

Dear Applicant:

Z 401 334 708 ibed in section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code

US Postal Samare

Postmark or Date

Receipt for Certified Mail

No Insurance Coverage Provided Demonstration International Mail (See reverse)

mitted indicates that your organization was incorporated

n 3.01 of your By-laws states that "The specific purpose on is to enhance the communication and contact of this alumni association. The functions are as follows:

s, conferences and other activities;

between the Alma Mater and the members of the alumni ffering the opportunity for the members of the alumni ake contributions to the Alma Mater; 3. To promote the development of the members of the alumni association in the field of local culture, science society and technology.

According to the application, Form 1023 the activities of your organization are to raise funds to foster alumni participation and involvement of University and school activities. All activities will be initiated by officers as soon as possible.

According to information submitted in a letter dated and and signed by the president of your organization, the activities have already begun as of to the present and are as follows:

- the home of president of the alumni organization, for 35 alumni.
- The special issue had three reports from alumnus who have Significant achievements in their respective areas.
- d. _____- Hosting dinner for alumnus and president _____.

 came with three other professors to the with ______ and took the opportunity to meet _______.
- e. Selected speaker from alumnus were invite ' to share their experiences and to offer free professional consultation.
- f. Made arrangements for the group to visit various companies in particularly high tech areas such as development.
- g. Published the first semi-annual newsletter. The newsletter illustrated announcements of _______ of activities planned; reports from Alma Mater in and ______, and interview with senior alumni members in the

- i. Published membership directory for strengthen the communication and for networking.
- k. Sent a representative to co-organize the program. A total of Children attended the program.
- Published second semi-annual newsletter. Includes reports from the president, planning alumni, and financial report.
- n. Co-organized scholar group to lecture in Co-organized with lecture in to recruit research fellows from nation wide to hold day lecture in was responsible for recruitment and pre-evaluation of candidates.
- o. _____ Hosted a dinner for alumnus and the ______ delegation. Organized a casual dinner (paid by each member) for members of two organizations to exchange ideas, experience, and to facilitate future collaboration plans.
- The special issue covered three successfully launched their own business of and development in

According to the same letter, the proposed activities for the next two years will be as follows:

- a. To publish semi-annual newsletter of each year.
- b. To publish membership directory of each year.
- c. University anniversary celebration f each year.
- d. To participate in the of each year.

- e. To host presidents (and University delegations to
- f. To co-organize community activities with other organizations schedule varies.
- g. To organize delegations to for lecture and visiting (anniversary of the University).
- h. In the same letter (question number the organization says they will provide scholarships to the children of the alumni.

Income from the organization shows actual income for 1998 and 1999 and proposed income for the year 2000.

Contributions \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$

The expenses for and shows that most of the money was used for fund raising. In the organization spent \$ of the \$ for fund year is \$ for fund raising. When asked why most of the funds were spent on fund raising the organization stated that the purpose of the fund the organization. Expenses are paid for publication, place/equipment rental and newsletter are a part of the file.

There are active members who paid membership dues. The organization hopes to increase its membership to paid members by

ISSUE:

Does the organization qualify for exemption as an organization described in section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code as a charitable and/or educational organization.

LAW:

A distributed the processing of the extra land the Domestin and the

Section 501(c) of the Internal Revenue Code provides for exemption in paragraph (3) for "Corporations and any community chest, fund, or foundation organized and operated exclusively for religious, charitable, scientific, testing for public safety, literary or educational purposes, or to foster national or international amateur sports competition (but only if no part of its activities involve the provision of athletic facilities or equipment), no part of the net earnings of which inures to the benefit of any private shareholder or individual, otherwise provided in subsection (h), and which does not participate in, or intervene in (including the publishing or distribution of statements), any political campaign on behalf of any candidate for public office."

Section 1.501(c)(3)-1(a)(1) of the Income Tax Regulations (Regs) provides that in order to be exempt as an organization described in section 501(c)(3), an organization must be both organized and operated exclusively for one or more of the purposes specified in such section. If any organization fails to meet either the organizational test or the operational test, it is not exempt.

Section 1.501(c)(3)-1(c)(1) of the Regs provides that an organization will be regarded as "operated exclusively" for one or more exempt purposes only if it engages primarily in activities which accomplish one or more of such exempt purposes specified in section 501(c)(3). An organization will not be so regarded if more than an insubstantial part of its activities is not in furtherance of an exempt purpose.

Section 1.501(c)(3)-1(c)(2) of the Regs provides that an organization is not coerated exclusively for one or more exempt purposes if its net earnings inure in whole or in part to the benefit of private shareholders or individuals.

Section 1.501(c)(3)-1(d)(1)(ii) of the Regs provides that an organization is not organized or operated exclusively for one or more exempt purposes unless it serves a public rather than private interest. Thus, it is necessary for an organization to establish that it is not organized or operated for the benefit of private interest such as designated individuals, the creator or his family, such private interests.

Revenue Ruling 56-486 C.B. 1956-2 309, held that an alumni association formed-at the instigation of University Officials qualified for tax exemption under section 501(c)(3). It was determined that because the association (1) is organized primarily for promoting the welfare of the University with which it is affiliated, (2) is operated as an integral part of it, and (3) is subject to the control of the University as to its policies and destination of funds, it qualified for exemption.

Revenue Ruling 60-143 C.B. 1960-1 193 holds that social and recreational activities carried on by an alumni association of a university, which are merely incidental to the basic purpose and objective of advancing the interests of the university, do not of themselves preclude such organization from tax exemption under section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code.

In <u>Benedict Ginsberg v. Commissioner</u>, 46 T.C. 47 (1966), the court held that in order to qualify for exemption under Code section 501(c)(3), an organization must serve a public rather than a private benefit. Although an incidental private benefit will not destroy the qualification of an otherwise religious and educational organization, where an organization is serving both public and private interests, the private benefit must be clearly incidental to the overriding public interest.

In <u>Better Business Bureau of Washington</u>, D.C. v. <u>United States</u>, <u>326 U.S. 279 (1945)</u>, the Supreme court interpreted the requirement in section 501(c)(3) that an organization be "operated exclusively" by indicating that in order to fall within the claimed exemption, an organization must be devoted exclusively to exempt purposes. The Court held that the presence of a single non exempt purpose, if substantial in nature, will destroy the exemption regardless of the number or importance of truly exempt purposes.

In Human Engineering Institute, TC Memo 1978-145, affd (1980, CA6) 629 F2d 1160, 90 USTC section 9600, 46 AFTR 2d 80-5479; Kenner Williams v. Ccomm., (1963 CA7) 318 F2d 632 63-2 USTC section 9519, 11 AFTR 2d 1956; and Gondia Corp. TC Memo 1982-422, all affirm that an organization is not organized and operated exclusively for one or more exempt purposes if its net earnings inures in whole or in part to the benefit of private shareholders or individuals.

See Church of Boston v. Commissioner, (1878 71 T.C. 102; Colorado State chiropractic Society, (1989) 93 T.C. 487; Best Lock Corporation, (1959) 31 T.C. 1217; St. Louis Science Fiction Limited v. Commissioner, T.C. Memo 1985-162 and Minnesota Kingsmen chess Association, Inc. v. Commissioner, T.C. Memo 1983-495. All of these

court cases held that the presence of a single non exempt purpose and substantial non 501(c)(3) activities results in loss of exemption despite the presence of other exempt purposes.

In <u>American Campaign Academy</u>, (1989) 92 T.C. 1053, the court also found that non incidental benefits conferred on disinterested persons may also serve private and nonpublic interests and unrelated third parties (i.e., those not within the scope of private shareholders or individuals but merely members of the general public are not excluded from the class of private persons whose receipt of benefits would cause the organization to be operated for non exempt purposes.

In <u>Presbyterian & Reform Publishing Co. v. C.I.R., 79 T.C. 1070</u> (1982), the Tax Court found that the manner in which the organization conducted its activities revealed a non exempt commercial purpose that was substantial in nature. In making this determination, the court noted that whether an organization satisfies the operational test is essentially a question of fact. The court noted that where a non exempt purpose is not an expressed goal of the organization, courts have nonetheless focussed on the manner in which the organization conducts its activities, implicitly reasoning that an end can be inferred from the means chosen to attain it. If, for example, an organization's management decision replicate those of commercial enterprises, it is fair to infer that t least one purpose of the organization is commercial.

In <u>Puritan Church of America v. Comm.</u>, (1953, Dist Col) 209 F2d 3-6, 53-2 USTC section 9601, 45 AFTR 119 cert den (1954) 347 US 975, 9898 L ED 1115, the court found that an organization is disqualified if it serves a private rather than a public interest. It must therefore establish that it is not organized or operated for the benefit of private interest such as designated individuals, the creator of the organization, or his family, shareholders, or persons controlled (directly or indirectly) by such private interest, and the accomplishment of the exempt purpose must not be accompanied by personal, private or selfish considerations.

ANALYSIS AND CONCLUSION:

All of the preceding Code sections, Income Tax Regulations, Revenue Rulings and Court cases describe the criteria under which an organization may be exempt as an organization described in section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code, and when an organization is deemed not to be exempt under Code section 501(c)(3).

In order to qualify for exemption under Code section 501(c)(3) an organization must be both organized and operated exclusively for one or more

of the purposes specified in that section. Also, there can be no inurement of private benefit to any individual, organization, etc.

The specific purpose of according to your By laws "is to enhance the communication and contacts between the members of this alumni association." All of your activities are for the benefit of your members or like alumni associations. None of the activities listed in your letter dated are 501(c)(3) activities. seminars for members of your alumni association is not educational for the benefit of the general public, but for the benefit of your alumni members; Hosting dinners is not a 501(c)(3) activity at all; Your newsletter is not educational but geared to the interest of your members; The interview with members who have been successful is not educational within the meaning of section 501(c)(3); Hosting dinners and meeting alumni from and is not a 501(c)(3) activity; Your seminars on life insurance, tax filing and stock investment are for the private benefit of your members and not educational within the meaning of section 501(c)(3). Arranging for members from to visit various companies is private benefit to those individuals and not exempt under section 501(c)(3); Publishing membership directories is for the private benefit of your members and not exempt under section 501(c)(3); Celebrating the anniversary of a section 501(c)(3); exempt activity but recreational and social activity for the benefit of your members; Participating in the state of the s on the part of your organization. It is not your organization's activity. Not enough information was given on the game to determine whether or not it is a charitable or educational activity; Free professional consultation to your members is private enefit to those members; in the year may or may not be educational, but not enough information was submitted to determine whether there is private benefit to those persons going to tour is not a 501(c)(3) activity and no breakdown was given of how many of the children attending was attending at the behest of your organization and/or children of members; and scholarships to be given to children of your members is not a 501(c)(3) activity.

It is the position of the Internal Revenue Service, based on the information submitted, that your organization is not entitled to exemption from Federal income tax as an organization described in section 501(c)(3) of the Code inasmuch as you are not organized and operated exclusively for any of the specified purposes within that section. All of the court cases described above apply to your organization. You are not organized and operated for any of the reasons stated in the two Revenue Rulings listed above. You are organized and operated for the private benefit of your members.

If you are in agreement with this proposed determination, we request that you sign and return the enclosed agreement, Form 6018. Please note the instructions for signing on the reverse side of the form.

If you are not in agreement with this proposed determination, we recommend that you request a hearing with our office of Regional Director of Appeals. Your request for a hearing should include a written appeal giving the facts, law, and any other information to support your position, as explained in the enclosed Publication 892.

You will then be contacted to arrange a date for a hearing. The hearing may be held at the office of Regional Director of Appeals or, if you request, at a mutually convenient District Office. A self addressed envelope is enclosed.

If we do not hear from you within 30 days from the date of this letter, and you do not protest this proposed determination in a timely manner, it will be considered by the Internal revenue Service as a failure to exhaust available administrative remedies and will then become our final determination.

Section 7428(b)(2) of the Internal Revenue Code provides in part that a "declaratory judgement of decree under this section shall not be issued in any proceeding unless the Tax Court, the Claims Court, or the District court of the United States for the District of Columbia determines that the organization involved has exhausted administrative remedies available to it within the Internal Revenue Service."

If you have any questions, please contact the person whose name appears on the heading of this letter.

Sincerely,

Steven T. Miller

Steven J. Miller

Director Exempt Organizations

Enclosure: Form 6018 Publication 892 Notice 1214

Form 6018

Department of the Treasury-Internal Revenue Service

(Rev. August 1983)	Consent to Proposed Adverse Action (All references are to the Internal Revenue Code)		Prepare In Duplicate
Case Number .	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Date of Latest Determination Lett	ter
Employer Identification Number		Data of Barrier	
		Date of Proposed Adverse Action	Letter
Name and Address of Organization	1		
I consent to the proposed:	adverse action relative to	ha about a series in the	
and stand mat in Section 74.	20, Declaratory Juagments	he above organization as shown by the box s Relating to Status and Classification of O	((es) checked below. I
(3), etc. applies, I have the rig	ht to protest the proposed	adverse action.	. gameations under Section 501(c)
	NATU	RE OF ADVERSE ACTION	
Denial of exemption			
C Demar of exemption	as an organization	n described in Internal Rever	nue Code Section 501(c)(2
Revocation of exempt	tion, effective		301(6)(3
☐ Modification of exemp	pt status from section 501	(c)() to 501(c)(), effective	
Classification as a priv	ate foundation (section 50	09(a)), effective	
Classification as a non	r-operating foundation (sec	ction 4942(j)(3)), effective	
Classification as an org	ganization described in sec	tion 509(a)(), effective	
pro- mag	ganization described in sect		
f you agree to the adverse acti	on shown above, please sig	gn and return this consent. You should kee	
nent under section 7428.	you have exhausted your	administrative appeal rights, you may lose	your rights to a declaratory judg-
	/Signature instru	ictions are an electricity	
ame of Organization	, organizate mistre	uctions are on the back of this form.)	
,			
ignature and Title			•
			Date
ignature and Title			
2			Da e